

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,239	03/	05/2002	Steven Paul Giles	TH-1923 (US)	7191
	90	07/07/2003			
Beverlee G. Steinberg Shell Oil Company				EXAMINER	
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 2463			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
Houston, TX 77252-2463				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	7_
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/091,239	GIE ETA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner P HAM	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
- Since to trophy							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed							
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period will continue to the period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period will continue to the period for reply is specified above.							
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the moliting data of the application to become ADAINDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
Status re-and A 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _03/05/05							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b, This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, proceduling as to the							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) At Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	E\	atent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 7					

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (5,547,495; 13, 20, 22 & 36 in Fig. 2; 40 in Fig. 5; col. 7, line 23 through col. 8, line 40).

Wright discloses a process for trapping particulate matter in a gas stream comprising the steps of providing one exit for exhaust gas connected to one duct, placing at least one particulate trap in the duct wherein the particulate trap is removable or replaceable while equipment is on line. Wright further discloses the assembly comprising the particulate trap and a sliding gate housing positioned within the duct such that the opening of the sliding gate housing allows

Application/Control Number: 10/091,239

Art Unit: 1724

particulate trap removal or replacement. Wright also discloses the assembly is upstream of a catalyst bed. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a process for trapping particulate matter in a gas stream as taught by Wright so that the particulate trap can be easily removed or replaced for routine maintenance or routine inspection without disrupting the operation of the filtration assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peter et al (6,063,150; 34, 36 & 40 in Fig. 6; Figs. 8-10; col. 1, lines 9-15; col. 5, line 8 through col. 6, line 67).

Peter et al teach a particulate trap for removing particles from a gas stream comprising a plurality of filtering layers with different mesh sizes while one media catches larger particles and one filtering layer catches smaller particles being sandwiched between two larger particles media layers, and the filtering layer is a sintered weave material and is pleated.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/091,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- D'Augereau (4,904,288) discloses a filter element for circulating air system.
- Peter et al (5,853,437) disclose a self-cleaning particle filter.
- Lisson et al (6,096,117) disclose an aerosol filter having layers of metal gauze.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (except Wednesday) from 7:15 a.m. to 5:45 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-finals) or (703) 872-9311 (after-finals).

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Minh-Chau Pham

Patent Examiner

June 30, 2003